

European Association for the Development of Renewable Energies, Environment and Power Quality (EA4EPQ)

Total integration of renewable and fossil energy aiming to a clean and sustainable energy system

D.G. Pinatti¹, R.A. Conte¹

¹ Department of Materials Engineering

Escola de Engenharia de Lorena, Sao Paulo University

Polo Urbo Industrial P.O.Box 116 –12600-000 Lorena, SP (Brazil)

Phone/Fax number: +0055 12 31599903/12 31533006, e-mail: pinatti@demar.eel.usp.br, rosaconte@demar.eel.usp.br

Abstract. This paper presents a new concept of total integration of renewable and fossil energies (TIRFE), represented by an octagonal structure of all sources, vectors of transmission and optimization of consumption, aiming a clean and sustainable energy system. The main TIRFE technologies are: cogeneration of H₂ and electric energy (EE) by biomass gasification in supercritical water integrated with a thermoelectric unit (H₂-BGSCW/TEU); use of H₂ from biomass in oil refinery processes for production of light and clean derivatives; supply of H₂ deficiency for methanol production from coal; carbon sequestration by a basket of technologies (exhausted P&G wells, underground saline aquifers, forests and stockpile of cellulignin-CL-produced from forest residues); use of H2-BGSCW/TEU as district CHP with photovoltaic panels for EE, including electric car battery recharge; optimization of energy consumption by verticalization of the cities replacing low strength materials (bricks and common cement) by high performance concrete with addition of silica from rice husk. TIRFE helps to solve key problems of H₂-BGSCW/TEU, such as materials, energy recovery, plugging, corrosion, economics and energy security for the first generation of plants, and organizes the development for the second generation. TIRFE can be incrementally implanted in existent and new cities.

Key words

Energy integration, sustainability, hydrogen, biomass gasification, supercritical water.

1. Introduction

Renewable energy is unsufficient to deal with the demand, and fossil energy is pollutant. This paper presents a new concept of total integration of renewable and fossil energy (TIRFE) aiming to a clean and sustainable energy system. The best way to present TIRFE is to introduce the octagonal structure of the main energies (Fig.1), which is divided into three regions: consumption (inner octagon), vectors/products (intermediate), and sources (outer octagon). The main sources are coals (mineral, shale oil, tar sands), P&G, energy forest, herbaceous (sugarcane, grass), oleaginous plants, excretions, microalgae, solar (photovoltaic, thermal), fluids (hydraulic, eolic, oceanic), nuclear, and residues (organic/inorganic). TIRFE allows simultaneous development of a clean and sustainable energy system with optimization of consumption in residential, commercial and industrial sectors.

The main characteristics of TIRFE are: (a) to allow greening of the world [1] to be used for production of H_2 at competitive costs (US 3.00/kg) by gasification of biomass in supercritical water [2]-[6] integrated with a thermoelectric unit (H₂-BGSCW/TEU) that can be scaled from pilot plant (distributed H₂/EE) up to large production; (b) besides present market of H_2 (NH₃/fertilizer-53%, hydrocracking and hydrotreatment in the oil refinery-28%; methanol-11%, compressed H₂-8%), new markets will be stimulated: H-Bio process for biodiesel with absence of effluents and glycerine[7], fuel cells, methanol production from carbonaceous fuels and CO₂ [8], and H₂-duct operating at 2-10 MPa for H₂ transportation and storage of energy; (c) at the urban neighborhood the H2-BGSCW/TEU can operate as a CHP unit (combined heat and power) using various kinds of fuels (MSW-municipal solid waste, biomass pellets, etc.); (d) CO₂ from H₂-BGSCW/TEU is clean and has purity to be used in photobioreactors for microalgae growth for oil (biodiesel) and protein production[9].

2. Integration by cleaning of oil derivatives by H_2 from biomass, of vegetable oils by H-Bio process, of coal by a basket of technologies and GHG retention



Fig. 1. Octagonal structure of main energies: sources (outer), consumption (inner) and vectors (intermediate)

Biomass has high growth rate in the tropics, and northern hemisphere has high energy consumption suggesting to produce biomass pellets mainly in the south and export them to the north. For an expected production of 0.1 kg H₂/kg DB (dry biomass), and 40 TDB/ha.y (whole tree)[10] the economical value is US 12,000/ha.y, which allows good remuneration for high efficient biomass production. Diluted acidic prehydrolysis (2% m/m H₂SO₄, 0.8 MPa, 180 °C, 30 min.)[11]-[13] converts 20% of the biomass (hemicellulose) into a sugar solution (for ethanol production) and 80% into CL (cellulose + lignin -CH_{1.363}O_{0.524}, 55.2% m/m of C, compressed specific mass=1250 kg/m³, which contains 0.69 tC/m³). Table I shows TIRFE calculations in four columns:1st) fossil fuel with some parameters; 2nd) consumption (C), reserve lifetime (RLT) and carbon emissions (E); 3rd) CL stockpile (CLSP) volume/y (CLV), and forest area for residue supply (16TDB/ha.y) for CL production (FAR); 4th) H₂/y production from stem forest-H₂SF -(24TDB/ha.y, 100 kgH₂/TDB), H₂/y demand for petroleum refinery-H₂PR-(51.5kgH₂/b)[14] and H₂/y deficiency for methanol production from coal (H₂Coal). Petroleum estimated reserves are 2.5 times larger[15]. (See Further Information for Table I (a) to (j) calculations).

FAR= 1.30×10^9 ha= 13×10^6 km² should not use the world agrarian land (13.5×10^6 km²) but only 17% of the world non agrarian occupable land (76.5×10^6 km²). FAR is constant and will supply residues for CLSP during RLT of fossil fuels. The area/y to be occupied by CLSP of 50 m high is (13.34×10^9 m³/y)/50m= 266.8 km²/y that, even if RLT is considered , it is a small area for total retention of fossil C, and is distributed all over the world. Both areas (FAR and CLSP) may be smaller due to the growth of renewables replacing fossils, better energy efficiencies,

and due to the contribution of the basket of CO_2 sequestration (exhausted wells of P&G and underground saline water). On the other hand, new discoveries of fossil fuels will increase both areas. Technological developments, cost reduction, market and environmental disasters will define the equilibrium point. CLSP has many advantages: it has $pH \le 4$ to avoid CL biological degradation; it is self-supporting up to hundreds of meters high, demanding only top and lateral impermeabilization; its top can support a solar farm installation; reforestation cost is mainly covered by the noble applications of stems (cellulose, MDF, solid wood, H₂); sugar solution for ethanol production helps to remunerate prehydrolysis costs; profitable oil and coal used anywhere can pay a sequestration fee; CLSP is a reservoir acting as an energy security; the surface density in tC/ha is 345,000 compared

Table I. – Conversion of fossil into renewable carbon, and supplying of H_2 from biomass to clean fossil fuels

Proved	C,10 ⁹	CLV, 10 ⁹	$H_2SF, 10^9$
Fossil	RLT,10 ⁹	FAR, 10 ⁹	H ₂ -PR,-Coal,10 ⁹
Fuels[16]	E,10 ⁹		
Petroleum	31.0 b/y	$5.16 \text{ m}^3/\text{y(a)}$	1210 kgH ₂ /y(c)
b=159 L	64.5 y	0.50 ha(b)	1597 kgH ₂ /y(d)
ρ=0.85 kg/L	3.56 tC/y		
85% C m/m			
NG	2770 m ³ /y	$1.96 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{y(e)}$	NG does not
$\rho = 0.65 \text{ kg/m}^3$	65.0 y	0.19 ha(f)	use H ₂
75% C m/m	1.35 tC/y		
Coal	5.5 t/y	$6.22 m^3/y(g)$	1457 kgH ₂ /y(i)
$\rho = 1.3 \text{ t/m}^3$	165.3 y	0.61 ha(h)	953kgH ₂ /y(j)
78% C m/m	4.29 tC/y		
Totals	C=9.2 tC/y	CLV=	$H_2SF=$
		13.34 m ³ /y	2667 kgH ₂ /y
		FAR=	H_2 -PR,-Coal=
		1.30 ha	2550 kgH ₂ /y

with tropical forest, which is 240. There is a balance between supply of H_2SF and demand of H_2 -PR,-Coal but not all stem will be transformed into H_2 , neither all coal into methanol.

Oil from oleaginous, microalgae and excretion can be transformed into biodiesel by H-Bio process. H-Bio will impact three areas: preservation of Amazon and other biomes by extractive technologies; retention of CO_2 via microalgae growth in photobioreactors; conversion of excretion into char and oil by LTC (low temperature conversion)[17]. An example of the last one is the transformation of inefficient extensive into intensive cattle farms (200x10⁶ herds in Brazil), which allows collection and processing of the excretion yielding biodiesel close to the present diesel consumption in Brazil (52x10⁹ L/y)[16].

3. Integration through supercritical water technology (SCWT) and electric energy

High purity SCW is a great success in FPP (fossil power plant, η =40-49%)[18],[19] and is being expanded to nuclear and biomass power plants[20],[21]. That technology (water purity, materials, piping, valves, turbines) will be adopted for the TEU circuit of H₂-BGSCW/TEU. However, the second circuit of the H₂ production, which uses 5% m/m of biomass slurry, has problems of materials, energy recovery, plugging, corrosion, size of research facilities and economics that are minimized by the integration of SCWT and EE. Before description of H₂-BGSCW/TEU (cf. Item 5) we will point out the optimization of urban energy use.

Optimization of urban occupation in integrated vertical cities - IVC (50,000 inhab./km² in12-floor buildings with 3-floor garages and 9-floor of residences or commercial offices) reduces 8 km² from the horizontal city IVC configuration. can be supplied with 2460 kWh/inhab.y from solar panels installed at the top of the buildings and streets, and with 1440 kWh/inhab.y from H₂-BGSCW/TEU (CHP fuelled by forest pellets of an equivalent area not occupied by the horizontal city) totalizing 3900 kWh/inhab.y, which is almost the double of the total Brazilian electric energy consumption of 2117 kWh/inhab.y, and a half or a third of developed countries, to be supplied with fossil energy[22]. IVC avoid occupation of agrarian and forestry areas and have lower cost of infrastructure (street, water supply, sewage treatment, electrical network), car traffic, travelling time.

There is room for future integration of SMES (superconductor magnet energy storage) for improvement of energy quality, power factor and peak energy supply of a system of multiple and intermitent sources[23]. Solar panels, electrical battery recharge and SMES form a DC/LT local network integrated with AC/HT network substation with an AC/DC converter.

4. Integration through organic and inorganic residues

Organic residues and herbaceous, when sufficiently clean, will be directed to the H_2 -BGSCW/TEU together with the wood stem. Unclean residues will be directed to the fluidized bed (or grate) furnace. Examples of the last ones are: MSW, dry sludge, industrial organic residues, grass, agricultural waste, petcoke, and forest residues.

Inorganic impurities are not dissolved in the SCW and tend to form crystallites (hydrothermal synthesis) that should be filtered after the heat exchange. The first objective of hydrothermal synthesis is to recover K, P (fertilizers) but SCW technology can be improved to simultaneously produce high-tech materials [24], H_2 and EE.

Production processes of inorganic materials are large consumers of energy (steels, non ferrous metals, cement, etc.). The low resistance of bricks (4 MPa) and common cement (30 MPa) leads to horizontal cities. Table II gives the traces of common concretes-CC, and high performance concrete - HPC[25]. Some comments can be made about them.

Table II. Traces of two types of concretes, in kg/m^3

,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									
Т	С	AG	S	AS	SP	W	W /	σ,	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	C+S	MPa	
CC	400	1100	861		2	172	0.43	42	
HPC	435	1000	620	95	7.5	173	0.33	85	
¹ Trace ²	² Cemer	nt ³ Aor	oregate	⁴ Sa	nd $5A$	ctive	rice hus	k silica	

¹Trace ²Cement ³Aggregate ⁴Sand ³Active rice husk silica (RHS) ⁶Superplastificant ⁷Water σ =Compression resistance

The active silica in HPC was obtained from rice husk burned at T < 800 °C in a fluidized bed boiler of a TEU (5 MW) with the following composition (% m/m) and characteristics: SiO₂ (93.43), K₂O (1.22), P₂O₅ (0.37), CaO (0.31), MgO (0.27), MnO (0.26), other (0.15), LOI 3.99), BET specific surface 32 m²/g, particle size < 100 μ m [25].

HPC is used for expensive large constructions (tower buildings, bridges, dams, ports, ocean platforms, etc.) but plate development is under way for floor/ceiling and wall/pillar casted in factories followed by IVC assembling, with the same cost of the low-cost horizontal cities, and prepared to receive photovoltaic panels.

HPC (90 MPa, 2500 kg/m³) replaces structural steels (280 MPa, 7600 kg/m³) because their ratios of strengths and specific masses are equal to 3.1. Reactive powder concrete-RPC (400 to 800 MPa) replaces high strength steel in mechanical engineering.

5. Evolution of the H₂-BGSCW/TEU concept

 H_2 -BGSCW/TEU shown in Fig. 2 is the proposed technology to promote TIRFE. A brief description of each sector is given below.



Fig 2. Flow chart of H2-BGSCW/TEU

Sector 1: thermally isolated furnace similar to the petrochemical pyrolysis of ethylene (C2H2)[26] and reforming of H₂ production[27]. It comprises the SCW reactor and preheater tubes (SCWRPh), which are heated by burning unclean fuels (fluidized bed or grate). Lifetime greater than 6 years of improved centrifuged heat resistant steel tubes (similar to petrochemical furnaces) is economically acceptable for TIRFE because of their large scale production and scrap remelting. Clean biomass (woody, herbaceous) is pumped into SCWRPh by a slurry pump (30% of DB). H₂ production rates at 25 MPa, 650 °C are, in kg H₂/kg DB: 0.177 (theoretical), 0.166 (thermodynamic) and 0.100 (practical, with activated carbon as a catalyst). At the triple junction S/Ph/R the slurry must receive a thermal shock to 650 °C to minimize incrustation of furfural/phenol that will be periodically burned in a similar way to the petrochemical furnaces (4 days/month). Parameters of this sector are:

Tubes: alloy Cr25Ni35NbY, alloy specific mass ρ = 8000 kg/m³, creep strength at 10⁵ h/875 °C=82 MPa, admissible strength σ = 41 MPa, inner diameter 100 mm, thickness t=30 mm, length=12 m, SCW pressure P= 25 MPa, specific weight w=100 kg/m, average alloy price US 25.50/kg (US 2550/m). P/ σ ratio is critical for lowering w and tube cost (Eq. (1) and (2)):

$$Pd = 2\sigma t$$
 (1)

$$w = \pi (d+t) = (\pi d^2 / 4)(2 + P / \sigma)(P / \sigma)\rho \quad (2)$$

Reactor tube mass flows: SCW specific mass ρ =64.8 kg/m³, residence time Δt = 30 s = 0.00833 h (Eq. (3) to (6)):

$$m_{Reactor} = (\pi d^2 / 4) L \rho_{SCW} / \Delta t = 732.8 \, kg / h$$
 (3)

$$m_{Biomass} = 0.05 \ x \ m_{Reactor} = 36.6 \ kg \ DB / h \qquad (4)$$

$$m_{Slurry(30\% DB)} = m_{Biomass} / 0.3 = 122.1 kg / h$$
 (5)

$$m_{H_2} = 0.1 \, kg \, / \, kg \, DB \, x \, 36.6 \, kg \, DB \, / \, h =$$

$$= 3.66 \, kg \, H_2 \, / \, h. \, tube$$
(6)

Preheater tube parameters are calculated from mass and enthalpy balance at the TJ (Eq. (7) and (8)):

$$m_{Preheater} = m_{Reactor} - m_{Slurry} = 610.43 \, kg \,/ \,h \tag{7}$$

$$m_{Preheater} h_{Preheater} = m_{Reactor} h_{Reactor} - m_{Slurry} h_{Slurry} \tag{8}$$

where
$$h_{Reactor} = 3637.7 \text{ kJ/kg}$$
 (SCW, P=25 MPa,

T=650 °C) and h_{Slurry} =1707.9 kJ/kg (compressed water, P = 25 MPa,T = 360 °C), resulting in $h_{Preheater}$ = 4005.7 kJ/kg and $T_{Preheater}$ = 785.8 °C.

In petrochemical furnaces tubes work at higher temperature and lower pressure (1100 °C, 4 MPa) when compared to H₂-BGSCW, which works at lower temperature and higher pressure (875 °C, 25 MPa). These conditions reduce creep and carbonization but increase corrosion with predominance of oxidation in austenitic alloys exposed to SCW. Data of 800H alloy (Fe21Cr32Ni) with grain boundary engineering for 1000 h have a weight change of 0.16 μ m/y at 500 °C and 0.27 μ m/y at 600 °C [28]. Extrapolation to 800 °C yields 0.49 μ m/y and, even with higher corrosion rate due to organic and inorganic impurities, it will allow a 6-year tube lifetime or more for the thermochemical furnace. In FPP corrosion rates are smaller because of the clean SCW, reaching 40-year lifetime.

Sectors 2 and 3: TEU will follow reference technologies, such as FPP 430 MWe, 1000 MWt, 540/560 °C, P=25 MPa, d=221 mm, t=32 mm, w=203.4 kg/m, alloy P91, $\sigma(10^5h)=175$ MPa, $\sigma=86.3$ MPa, alloy price US 7.60/kg (US 1546.00/m)[18],[19]. Second generation of H₂-BGSCW/TEU may follow reference AD700 technology parameters: 700/720 °C, P=35 MPa, d=175 mm, t=60 mm, w=354.2 kg/m, A617 alloy, $\sigma(10^5h)=100$ MPa, $\sigma=51.0$ MPa, alloy price US 66.60/kg (US 23,520.00/m) [18],[19].

Sector 4: shell tube heat exchange has three fluxes: SCW with gases coming from reactor tube ($650 \,^{\circ}$ C) cooled to $60 \,^{\circ}$ C, passing through IF and going to the high pressure expansion valve V1 (25 to 12 MPa); cold water ($40 \,^{\circ}$ C) pumped by P3, heated to $600 \,^{\circ}$ C, and going to the preheater; cold water ($40 \,^{\circ}$ C) pumped by P4 from the deaerator of TEU circuit, cooling the shell of the heat exchange and returning to TEU circuit as saturated steam. Details of this sector will be published elsewhere.

Sectors 5, 6 and 7: water/gas separators PSA/SSFM follow conventional technology of TEU and of industrial gas technology, and will not be described here. H_2 is generated at high pressure and its recovery can be increased with membrane developments.

Sector 8: microalgae growth in photobioreactors is in rapid development and will be added to TIRFE when H_2 -BGSCW/TEU plants are installed. Besides oil and protein, this sector generates O_2 enriched air to be used in the furnace to efficiently burn unclean fuels.

The H₂-BGSCW/TEU has possibilities of regulation and storage of energy: decrease of H₂ production (endothermic heat absorption) and increase of EE production; storage into H₂-ducts (pressure between 2 and 10 MPa) and in methanol from coal; future use of H₂ gas in stationary and mobile fuel cells, the last one by reforming of methanol in cartridges.

The present paper is the first part of a second one, which will detail the design of the pilot plant including energy and mass balance, regulation and storage capacity via $H_2/EE/methanol$ production, and economical analysis.

7. Economical considerations

TIRFE uses proved and economic technologies of the following sectors: high productivity forest in world available areas (greening), exportation of wood pellets, materials and processes from petrochemical pyrolysis and reforming, SCW-FPP, industrial gases, H₂ supply to PR, H₂ deficiency supply to methanol from coal, HPC with RHS to reduce price of vertical buildings and viaducts allowing optimization of IVC, elimination of land and land preparation cost for solar energy farms and integration of solar energy/ CHP / swapple electric car batteries in a local DC/LT network with connection with centralized sources (hydro, thermal, eolic, nuclear) by AC/HT substation with an AC/DC converter.

It remains to be effected the industrial production rate of 0.1 tH₂/TDB by H₂-BGSCW, and CLSP for carbon retention. The last one can have the following economical contributions: 20% of ethanol from sugar solution from prehydrolysys, 35% from solar farm revenues at the top of CLSP, 5% fees from oil and 25% of CL heating value as energy security cost totalizing US 80.90/tC. This value results in US 22.10/tCO₂ and US 44.70/tCL, sufficient to cover costs of local forest residue transportation, prehydrolysis and CLSP formation. Detailed economic analysis will be published eslsewhere.

6. Further information

Table I calculations (a) to (j).

- a. $(3.56 \times 10^9 \text{ tC/y})/(0.69 \text{ tC/m}^3 \text{CL}) = 5.16 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y}$
- b. $(5.16 \times 10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y} \times 1.25 \text{ tCL/m}^3)/(0.8 \text{ tCL/TDB} \times 16 \text{ TDB/ha.y}) = 0.504 \times 10^9 \text{ ha} = 5.0 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$
- c. $(0.504 \times 10^9 \text{ ha x } 24 \text{ TDB/ha.y}) \times 100 \text{ kg } \text{H}_2/\text{TDB} =$ =1209x10⁹ kg H₂/y
- d. 31.0×10^9 b/y x 51.5 kgH₂/b=1596.5x10⁹ kgH₂/y
- $e.(1.35x10^9 \text{ tC/y})/(0.69 \text{ tC/m}^3\text{CL})=1.96x10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y}$
- f. $(1.96x10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y} \text{ x} 1.25 \text{ tCL/m}^3)/(0.8 \text{ tCL/TDB} \text{ x} x 16 \text{ TDB/ha.y}) = 0.191x10^9 \text{ ha} = 1.91x10^6 \text{ km}^2$
- $g.(4.29x10^9 \text{ tC/y})/(0.69 \text{ tC/m}^3\text{CL})=6.22x10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y}$
- h. $(6.22x10^9 \text{ m}^3/\text{y} \text{ x} 1.25 \text{ tCL/m}^3)/(0.8 \text{ tCL/TDB} \text{ x} x 16 \text{ TDB/ha.y}) = 0.607x10^9 \text{ ha} = 6.1x10^6 \text{ km}^2$
- i. 0.607×10^9 ha x 24 TDB/ha.y x 100 kg H₂/TDB = =1456.8 \times 10^9 kg H₂/y

j. H₂ deficiency for methanol production from coal

 $(C + \frac{2}{3} H_2O + \frac{1}{6} O_2)_{coal} + (\frac{4}{3} H_2)_{biomass} = CO + 2H_2 \rightarrow CH_3OH$ 4.29x10⁹ tC/y x(8/3 gH₂) x (1/12 gC) = 953.3x10⁹ kg H₂/y

Biodiesel production from excretion by LTC $(200 \times 10^6 \text{ herds})$

5 kg DM excretion/d.herd x 365 d/y x $200x10^6$ herds x 12% LTC oil conversion /0.85 kg/L = $52x10^9$ L/y

IVC solar panel electric energy/inhab.y

[4.8 kWh_t/m².d (daily sun stroke) x 18% (photovoltaic efficiency) x 10⁶ m²/km² x 60% (area coverage) x 365d/y x 65% (sunny day/y)]/(50,000 inhab./km²) = = 2460 kWh/inhab.y

UTE energy supply/inhab.y fuelled by a forest of 8 km² equals to an area not occupied by a horizontal city [8 km² x 100 ha/km² x 40 TDB/ha.y x 10^3 kg/t x 18.4 MJ/kg x 44% (thermoelectric efficiency) x 1 h]/(3600 s x 50,000 inhab.) = 1439 kWh/inhab.y

7. Conclusion

TIRFE concept proves that it is possible to simultaneously supply world energy demand with emission cleaning, retention of GHG from fossil fuels by reforestation and CLSP, helped by a basket of technologies, aiming to conversion of fossil into renewable carbon. TIRFE is better expressed by three concentric octagons of energies: consumption (inner), vectors (EE, gas, liquid and solid) (intermediate), and sources (outer). TIRFE fulfils all energy criteria such as quantity, quality, security, economical feasibility, availability of technology for the first generation, and organization of the next generation.

The most effective technology is the combined cycle H₂-BGSCW/TEU generating H₂/EE that complements and/or competes with the conventional syngas production plus water shift. Improved heat resistant steels allow SCW installations with an EE efficiency of 40 to 49%, plus the H₂ production not yet industrialized. The tendency to the Carnot cycle (T_H=1000K, T_L=300K, η =70%) is due to the total integration concept where losses of one player are

recovered by the next one, and internal energy consumption is smaller (water pumping).

Short lifetime materials and equipments (> 6 years), similar to the petrochemical furnaces, will be used in the H₂-BGSCW reactor/preheater, with scrap remelting. State-of-the-art long lifetime materials/equipments (> 40 years) will be used in the remaining of the plant.

 H_2 -BGSCW/TEU allows scale from pilot plants (distributed generation/consumption of H_2 and EE) up to large scale captive plants of H_2 . Distributed generation and consumption allows DC/LT integration with photovoltaic, electric cars, fuel cells and future SMES.

The main energy reduction at the consumption side comes from the IVC by replacement of low-strength materials (brick 4 MPa, CC 30 MPa) by factory casted HCP 90 MPa with addition of RHS. The buildings are prepared to receive solar panels, collect rain water, treat the sewage and reuse the water.

H₂-BGSCW/TEU can be installed by incremental logistic either in new or existent cities aiming to the full integration in the future. Southern/Northern hemisphere integration will be via biomass / CL pellets by technology and equipments exchange. Arguments of energy security can never ignore the sun distribution over the globe.

Preindustrial society was dependent on renewable energy (biomass, 10^9 inhab., rural). Postindustrial society is dependent on fossils (7x10⁹ inhab., horizontal/ tower building cities) with energy supply and GHG problems that can be solved by TIRFE whose quantitative equilibrium shown in this paper (fossil, biomass, solar, CLSP, IVC) comes from the fact that the origin of the fossils was the prehistorical renewables (solar+biomass).

References

[1] UNEP, 2011, Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication,

www.unep.org/greeneconomy, accessed Jun, 2011.

[2] L. Guo, C. Cao and Y. Lu, "Supercritical Water Gasification of Biomass and Organic Wastes", in Biomass, M. Momba and F. Bux (eds), Sciyo, Croatia (2010), Ch.9, pp.165-182, www.intechopen.com, accessed Sept., 2010.

[3]Y.Lu, L.Guo, X.Zhang, Q.Yan, "Thermodynamic modeling and analysis of biomass gasification for hydrogen production in supercritical water", Chem. Eng. J., Vol. 131, (2007), pp.233-244.
[4] Y.L. Lu, L.J. Guo, C.M. Ji, X.M. Zhang, X.H. Hao and Q.H. Yan, "Hydrogen production by biomass gasification in supercritical water: a parametric study", Int. J. Hydrogen Energ., Vol. 31, (2006), pp. 822-831.

[5] M.J. Antal Jr., S.G. Allen, D. Schulman, X. Xu, "Biomass gasification in supercritical water", Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., Vol. 39, (2000), pp. 4040-4053.

[6] Y. Guo, S.Z. Wang, D.H. Xu, Y.M. Gong, H.H. Ma and X.Y. Tang, "Review of catalytic supercritical water gasification for hydrogen production from biomass", Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev., Vol.14, (2010), pp. 334-343.

[7] Processo H-Bio, Tecnologia Petrobras para Produção de Óleo Diesel Renovável, (2007), www2.petrobras.com.br/tecnologia/port/hbio.asp, accessed Oct. 2011. [8] G.A. Olah, A. Goeppert, G.K.S. Prakash, "Beyond Oil and Gas: The Methanol Economy", Wiley, Weinheim (2006), 290 pp. [9] K.G. Satyanarayana, A.B. Mariano and J.V.C. Vargas, "A review on microalgae, a versatile source for sustainable energy and materials", Int. J. Energy Res., (2011), pp. 291–311.

[10] L.G. Brandão, Y.K. Ikemori and E. Campinhos Jr., in Proc. of "The new eucalypt forest: lectures given by the 1984 Marcus Wallenberg prize winners", Falun, (1984), 29 pp.

[11] D.G. Pinatti, C. A.Vieira, A. G. Soares, "Catalytic Cellulignin Fuel," 2005, Feb. 15, U.S.Patent 6,855,180B1.

[12] M. Tampier, P.A. Beauchemin, D. Smith and E. Bibeau, "Identifying Environmentally Preferable Uses for Biomass Resources-BC Bugwood: Economics, Technical Feasibility and GHG Implications of Seven Small to Medium-Scale Technologies", Environchem Services Inc., North Vancouver, (2006), Ch.4, pp.22-37.

[13] D.G. Pinatti, R.A. Conte, A.G. Soares, M.L.G. Pereira, E.L. Romão, J.C. Ferreira, I. Oliveira and L.F.M. Marton, "Biomass Refinery as a Renewable Complement to the Petroleum Refinery", Int. J. Chem. Reactor Eng., Vol.8, (2010), A94,17 pp. [14] F. Self, E. Ekholm and K. Bowers, "Refining Overview-Petroleum, Process and Products", American Institute of Chemical Engineering, Texas, (2000), Ch.26, pp.1-7.

[15] D. Yergin, "The Quest - Energy, Security and the Remaking of the Modern World", Penguin Books, London (2011), 816 pp.

[16] "Plano Nacional de Energia 2030", Empresa de Pesquisa Energética, Ministério de Minas e Energia, Brasília (2007), www.epe.gov.br, accessed Sept., 2011.

[17] D.G. Pinatti, I. Oliveira, A.G. Soares, E.L. Romão and J.C. Ferreira, "Aparelho e Processo para Decomposição de Qualquer Tipo de Material Orgânico", (2008), Brazilian PI 0804349-3, Oct. 16, 2008.

[18] Fossil Fuel Power Generation–State-of-the-Art, Power Clean Thematic Network, (2004), 75 pp. http://www.irc.ee/6rp/valdkonnad/P6/energia/Powerclean state of the art final.pdf, accessed Oct. 2011.

[19] H. Tschaffon, "700 °C Power Plant Technology – Status and Challenge", in Proc. of 9th Liege Conference: Materials for Advanced Power Engineering, (2010), pp. 20-28.

[20] V. Tulkki, "Supercritical water reactors: a survey on international state of research in 2006", Master Thesis, Helsinki University of Technology, Otaniemi, (2006), 91 pp.

[21] P. Henderson and A. Hjörnhede, "Combating corrosion in biomass and waste fired plant", in Proc. of 9th Liege Conference: Materials for Advanced Power Engineering, (2010), pp. 962-975.

[22] Energy Statistics>Electricity>consumption(per capita/y), CIA World Factbooks, http://www.nationmaster.com/graph/ene_ele_con_percap-

energy-electricity-consumption-per-capita, accessed Sept., 2011.

[23] M.R.I. Sheikh, S.M. Muyeen, R. Takahashi, and J. Tamura, "Multi-area frequency and tie-line power flow control by fuzzy grain scheduled SMES", in "Energy Storage", R.I. Sheikh (ed), Sciyo, Croatia, (2010), Ch. 5, pp. 87-102.

[24] T. Adschiri and K. Arai, "Hydrothermal synthesis of metal oxide nanoparticles under supercritical conditions", in "Supercritical Fluid Technology in Materials Science and Engineering, YA-Ping-Sun (ed), Marcel Dekker, New York, (2002), Ch. 8, 15 pp.

[25] D.G. Pinatti, L.F.M. Marton and O. Pilecco, "Processo de produção de sílica de casca de arroz fluidizada e respectivo produto resultante", (2011), Brazilian Patent Pending.

[26] L.W.O. Kneil, "Ethylene", in "Encyclopedia of Chemical Processing and Design", J. J. McKetta (ed), Marcel Dekker, New York (1984), pp. 89-125.

[27] K.Z.Patil, "Hydrogen", in Encyclopedia of Chemical Processing and Design", J. J. McKetta (ed), Marcel Dekker, New York (1987), pp. 485-497.

[28] L. Tan, T.R. Allen and Y. Yang, "Corrosion behavior of alloys 800H (Fe-21Cr-32Ni) in supercritical water", Corros. Sci., Vol. 53, (2011), pp. 703-711.